arXiv:1201.2311v3 [math.NA] 29 Oct 2012

Quasi-Monte Carlo methods for integration of functions with dominating mixed smoothness in arbitrary dimension

Lev Markhasin*

Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, e-mail: lev.markhasin@uni-jena.de

October 30, 2012

In a celebrated construction, Chen and Skriganov gave explicit examples of point sets achieving the best possible L_2-norm of the discrepancy function. We consider the discrepancy function of the Chen-Skriganov point sets in Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness and show that they also achieve the best possible rate in this setting. The proof uses a b-adic generalization of the Haar system and corresponding characterizations of the Besov space norm. Results for further function spaces and integration errors are concluded.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11K06,11K38,42C10,46E35,65C05.

Key words and phrases. discrepancy, Chen-Skriganov point set, dominating mixed smoothness, quasi-Monte Carlo, Haar system, numerical integration.

Acknowledgement: The author wants to thank Aicke Hinrichs, Hans Triebel and Dmitriy Bilyk.

---

*Research of the author was supported by a scholarship of Ernst Ludwig Ehrlich Studienwerk.

1 Introduction

Let N be some positive integer and $\mathcal{P}$ a point set in the unit cube $[0,1)^d$ with N points. Then the discrepancy function $D_{\mathcal{P}}$ is defined as

$$D_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}} \chi_{[0,x)}(z) - |[0,x)| \,. \tag{1}$$

By $|[0,x)| = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_d$ we denote the volume of the rectangular box $[0,x) = [0,x_1) \times \ldots \times [0,x_d)$ where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in [0,1)^d$ while $\chi_{[0,x)}$ is the characteristic function of $[0,x)$. So the discrepancy function measures the deviation of the number of points of $\mathcal{P}$ in $[0,x)$ from the fair number of points $N|[0,x)|$ which would be achieved by a (practically impossible) perfectly uniform distribution of the points of $\mathcal{P}$, normalized by the total number of points.

Usually one is interested in calculating the norm of the discrepancy function in some Banach space of functions on $[0,1)^d$ to which the discrepancy function belongs. A very well known result refers to the space $L_2([0,1)^d)$. It was proved by Roth in [R54]. There exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that, for any $N \geq 1$, the discrepancy function of any point set $\mathcal{P}$ in $[0,1)^d$ with N points satisfies

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}}|L_2\| \geq c_1 \, \frac{(\log N)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{N}.$$

The currently best known values for the constant c_1 can be found in [HM11]. Furthermore, there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that, for any $N \geq 1$, there exists a point set $\mathcal{P}$ in $[0,1)^d$ with N points that satisfies

$$\|D_{\mathcal{P}}|L_2\| \leq c_2 \, \frac{(\log N)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{N}.$$

This result is known for dimension 2 from [D56] (Davenport), for dimension 3 from [R79] (Roth) and for arbitrary dimension from [R80] (Roth). Only Davenport's result has been proved by an explicit construction while for higher dimensions probabilistic methods were used until Chen and Skriganov found explicit constructions for arbitrary dimension in [CS02]. Results for the constant c_2 can be found in [FPPS10].

Both bounds were extended to L_p-spaces for any $1 < p < \infty$. In the case of the lower bound the reference is [S77] (Schmidt) while for the upper bound it is [C80] (Chen).

As general references for studies of the discrepancy function we refer to the recent monographs [DP10] and [NW10] as well as [M99], [KN74] and [B11].

Until recently other norms than L_p-norms weren't studied a lot in the context of discrepancy. Triebel started the study of the discrepancy function in other function spaces like Sobolev, Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with dominating mixed smoothness in [T10b] and [T10a]. In [Hi10] Hinrichs proved sharp upper bounds for the norms in Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness in dimension 2. In [M12a] the author of this work proved these upper bounds for a much larger class of point sets and also for other function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. Triebel's result was that for all $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} - 1 < r < \frac{1}{p}$ and $q < \infty$ if $p = 1$ and $q > 1$ if $p = \infty$ there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that, for any $N \geq 2$, the discrepancy function of any point set $\mathcal{P}$ in $[0,1)^d$ with N points satisfies

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} | S^r_{pq} B([0,1)^d) \right\| \geq c_1 \, N^{r-1} (\log N)^{\frac{d-1}{q}},$$

and, for any $N \geq 2$, there exists a point set $\mathcal{P}$ in $[0,1)^d$ with N points such that

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} | S^r_{pq} B([0,1)^d) \right\| \leq c_2 \, N^{r-1} (\log N)^{(d-1)(\frac{1}{q}+1-r)}.$$

Hinrichs' result closed this gap for $d = 2$, we will mention it later.

We mention some definitions from [T10a] which are most important for our purpose.

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the Schwartz space and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}f$ the Fourier transform of f. Let $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy $\varphi_0(t) = 1$ for $|t| \leq 1$ and $\varphi_0(t) = 0$ for $|t| > \frac{3}{2}$. Let

$$\varphi_k(t) = \varphi_0(2^{-k}t) - \varphi_0(2^{-k+1}t)$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\varphi_k(t) = \varphi_{k_1}(t_1) \dots \varphi_{k_d}(t_d)$$

where $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The functions φ_k are a dyadic resolution of unity since

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \varphi_k(x) = 1$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The functions $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_k \mathcal{F} f)$ are entire analytic functions for any $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The Besov space with dominating mixed smoothness $S^r_{pq}B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with finite quasi-norm

$$\left\| f | S^r_{pq}B(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\| = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} 2^{r(k_1+\ldots+k_d)q} \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_k \mathcal{F} f) | L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

with the usual modification if $q = \infty$.

Let $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The Triebel-Lizorkin space with dominating mixed smoothness $S^r_{pq}F(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with finite quasi-norm

$$\left\| f | S^r_{pq}F(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\| = \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} 2^{r(k_1+\ldots+k_d)q} \left| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_k \mathcal{F} f)(\cdot) \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} | L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\|$$

with the usual modification if $q = \infty$.

Let $\mathcal{D}([0,1)^d)$ consist of all complex-valued infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with compact support in the interior of $[0,1)^d$ and let $\mathcal{D}'([0,1)^d)$ be its dual space of all distributions in $[0,1)^d$. The Besov space with dominating mixed smoothness $S^r_{pq}B([0,1)^d)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{D}'([0,1)^d)$ with finite quasi-norm

$$\left\| f | S^r_{pq}B([0,1)^d) \right\| = \inf \left\{ \left\| g | S^r_{pq}B(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\| : \, g \in S^r_{pq}B(\mathbb{R}^d), \, g|_{[0,1)^d} = f \right\}.$$

The Triebel-Lizorkin space with dominating mixed smoothness $S^r_{pq}F([0,1)^d)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{D}'([0,1)^d)$ with finite quasi-norm

$$\left\| f | S^r_{pq}F([0,1)^d) \right\| = \inf \left\{ \left\| g | S^r_{pq}F(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\| : \, g \in S^r_{pq}F(\mathbb{R}^d), \, g|_{[0,1)^d} = f \right\}.$$

The spaces $S^r_{pq}B(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $S^r_{pq}F(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $S^r_{pq}B([0,1)^d)$ and $S^r_{pq}F([0,1)^d)$ are quasi-Banach spaces. For $1 < p < \infty$ we define the Sobolev space with dominating mixed smoothness as

$$S^r_p H([0,1)^d) = S^r_{p2}F([0,1)^d).$$

If $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then it is denoted by $S^r_p W([0,1)^d)$ and is called classical Sobolev space with dominating mixed smoothness. An equivalent norm for $S_p^rW([0,1)^d)$ is

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^d;\,0\leq\alpha_i\leq r} \left\| D^\alpha f | L_p([0,1)^d) \right\|.$$

Also we have

$$S_p^0H([0,1)^d) = L_p([0,1)^d).$$

In [Hi10] Hinrichs analyzed the norm of the discrepancy function of point sets of the Hammersley type in Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. He proved upper bounds which closed the gap for Triebel's results of discrepancy in $S_{pq}^rB([0,1)^2)$-spaces. The result from [Hi10] is that for $1 \leq p,q \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq r < \frac{1}{p}$ there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for any $N \geq 2$, there exists a point set $\mathcal{P}$ in $[0,1)^2$ with N points such that

$$\left\| D_\mathcal{P} | S_{pq}^rB([0,1)^2) \right\| \leq c\, N^{r-1} (\log N)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

In [M12a] we proved these bounds for generalized Hammersley type point sets in Besov, Triebel-Lizorkin and Sobolev spaces with domintating mixed smoothness. In this note we close the gap of Triebel's result in arbitrary dimension. We use the same constructions which were used by Chen and Skriganov in [CS02] to prove upper bounds for L_2-discrepancy. The notation will mostly orientate on [DP10]. The main result of this note is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 \leq p,q \leq \infty$ and $0 < r < \frac{1}{p}$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that, for any $N \geq 2$, there exists a point set $\mathcal{P} \in [0,1)^d$ with N points such that*

$$\left\| D_\mathcal{P} | S_{pq}^rB([0,1)^d) \right\| \leq c\, N^{r-1} \left(\log N\right)^{\frac{d-1}{q}}.$$

The point sets in the theorem are the Chen-Skriganov point sets. It was conjectured in [Hi10] that they might satisfy the desired upper bound. The restrictions for the parameter r are necessary. The upper bound $r < \frac{1}{p}$ is due to the fact that we need characteristic functions of intervals to belong to $S_{pq}^rB([0,1)^d)$ and the condition given by [T10a, Theorem 6.3]. The restriction $r \geq 0$ comes from the point sets. Anyway, there is a restriction of $r > \frac{1}{p} - 1$ from the fact that we require $S_{pq}^rB([0,1)^d)$ to have a b-adic Haar basis. We have an additional restriction $r > 0$ which is due to our estimations which might not be optimal.

In [T10a, Remark 6.28] and [Hn10, Proposition 2.3.7] we find the following very practical embeddings. For $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$S^r_{p,\min(p,q)}B([0,1)^d) \hookrightarrow S^r_{pq}F([0,1)^d) \hookrightarrow S^r_{p,\max(p,q)}B([0,1)^d).$$

For $0 < p_2 \leq q \leq p_1 < \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$S^r_{p_1q}F([0,1)^d) \hookrightarrow S^r_{qq}B([0,1)^d) \hookrightarrow S^r_{p_2q}F([0,1)^d).$$

Therefore, we can conclude results for the Triebel-Lizorkin and Sobolev spaces.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $0 < r < \frac{1}{\max(p,q)}$. Then there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that, for any $N \geq 2$, the discrepancy function of any point set $\mathcal{P}$ in $[0,1)^d$ with N points satisfies*

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} | S^r_{pq}F([0,1)^d) \right\| \geq c_1\, N^{r-1} (\log N)^{\frac{d-1}{q}},$$

and, there exists a point set $\mathcal{P} \in [0,1)^d$ with N points such that

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} | S^r_{pq}F([0,1)^d) \right\| \leq c_2\, N^{r-1}\, (\log N)^{\frac{d-1}{q}}.$$

Corollary 1.3. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $0 < r < \frac{1}{\max(p,2)}$. Then there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that, for any $N \geq 2$, the discrepancy function of any point set $\mathcal{P}$ in $[0,1)^d$ with N points satisfies*

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} | S^r_{p}H([0,1)^d) \right\| \geq c_1\, N^{r-1} (\log N)^{\frac{d-1}{2}},$$

and, there exists a point set $\mathcal{P} \in [0,1)^d$ with N points such that

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} | S^r_{p}H([0,1)^d) \right\| \leq c_2\, N^{r-1}\, (\log N)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

The distribution of points in a cube is not just a theoretical concept. Its application in quasi-Monte Carlo methods is very important. Quadrature formulas need very well distributed point sets. The connection of discrepancy and the error of quadrature formulas can be given for a lot of norms. In [T10a, Theorem 6.11] Triebel gave this connection for Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. Using the embeddings we get additional results for the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. We define the error of the quadrature formulas in some Banach space $M([0,1)^d)$ of functions on $[0,1)^d$ with N points as

$$\operatorname{err}_N(M([0,1)^d)) = \inf_{\{x_1,\ldots,x_N\}\subset[0,1)^d} \sup_{f\in M_0^1([0,1)^d)} \left| \int_{[0,1)^d} f(x)\,\mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i) \right|$$

where by $M_0^1([0,1)^d)$ we mean the subset of the unit ball of $M([0,1)^d)$ with the property that for all $f \in M_0^1([0,1)^d)$ its extension to $[0,1]^d$ vanishes whenever one of the coordinates of the argument is 1. Then [T10a, Theorem 6.11] states that for $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} < r < \frac{1}{p} + 1$ there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for every integer $N \ge 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \inf_{\mathcal{P}\subset[0,1)^d;\,\#\mathcal{P}=N} &\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} | S^r_{pq}B([0,1)^d) \right\| \\ &\le \operatorname{err}_N(S^{1-r}_{p'q'}B([0,1)^d)) \\ &\qquad \le c_2 \inf_{\mathcal{P}\subset[0,1)^d;\,\#\mathcal{P}=N} \left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} | S^r_{pq}B([0,1)^d) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1.$$

We can thereby conclude results for the integration errors. For more details we refer to [M12b].

Theorem 1.4.

(i) *Let $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ and $q < \infty$ if $p = 1$ and $q > 1$ if $p = \infty$. Let $\frac{1}{p} < r < 1$. Then there exist constants $c_1, C_1 > 0$ such that, for any integer $N \ge 2$, we have*

$$c_1 \frac{(\log N)^{\frac{(q-1)(d-1)}{q}}}{N^r} \le \operatorname{err}_N(S^r_{pq}B) \le C_1 \frac{(\log N)^{\frac{(q-1)(d-1)}{q}}}{N^r}.$$

(ii) *Let $1 \le p, q < \infty$. Let $\frac{1}{\min(p,q)} < r < 1$. Then there exist constants $c_2, C_2 > 0$ such that, for any integer $N \ge 2$, we have*

$$c_2 \frac{(\log N)^{\frac{(q-1)(d-1)}{q}}}{N^r} \le \operatorname{err}_N(S^r_{pq}F) \le C_2 \frac{(\log N)^{\frac{(q-1)(d-1)}{q}}}{N^r}.$$

(iii) *Let $1 \le p < \infty$. Let $\frac{1}{\min(p,2)} < r < 1$. Then there exist constants $c_3, C_3 > 0$ such that, for any integer $N \ge 2$, we have*

$$c_3 \frac{(\log N)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{N^r} \le \operatorname{err}_N(S^r_p H) \le C_3 \frac{(\log N)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{N^r}.$$

In the next sections we introduce the constructions by Chen and Skriganov which we will use to prove our result. In order to do so we will calculate b-adic Haar coefficients of the discrepancy function. We also will introduce b-adic Walsh functions.

2 The b-adic Haar bases

For some integer $b \geq 2$ a b-adic interval of length b^{-j}, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in $[0,1)$ is an interval of the form

$$I_{jm} = [b^{-j}m, b^{-j}(m+1))$$

for $m = 0, 1, \ldots, b^j - 1$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we divide I_{jm} into b intervals of length b^{-j-1}, i.e. $I^k_{jm} = I_{j+1,bm+k}$, $k = 0, \ldots, b-1$. As an additional notation we put $I^{-1}_{-1,0} = I_{-1,0} = [0,1)$. Let $\mathbb{D}_j = \{0, 1, \ldots, b^j - 1\}$ and $\mathbb{B}_j = \{1, \ldots, b-1\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbb{D}_{-1} = \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{-1} = \{1\}$. The b-adic Haar functions h_{jml} have support in I_{jm}. For any $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$, $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ and any $k = 0, \ldots, b-1$ the value of h_{jml} in I^k_{jm} is $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}lk}$. We denote the indicator function of $I_{-1,0}$ by $h_{-1,0,1}$. Let $\mathbb{N}_{-1} = \{-1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. The functions h_{jml}, $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$, $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ are called b-adic Haar system. Normalized in $L_2([0,1))$ we obtain the orthonormal b-adic Haar basis of $L_2([0,1))$. A proof of this fact can be found in [RW98].

For $j = (j_1, \ldots, j_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d_{-1}$, $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_d) \in \mathbb{D}_j = \mathbb{D}_{j_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{D}_{j_d}$ and $l = (l_1, \ldots, l_d) \in \mathbb{B}_j = \mathbb{B}_{j_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{B}_{j_d}$, the Haar function h_{jml} is given as the tensor product $h_{jml}(x) = h_{j_1m_1l_1}(x_1) \ldots h_{j_dm_dl_d}(x_d)$ for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in [0,1)^d$. We will call $I_{jm} = I_{j_1m_1} \times \ldots \times I_{j_dm_d}$ b-adic boxes. For $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_d)$ where $k_i \in \{0, \ldots, b-1\}$ for $j_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k_i = -1$ for $j_i = -1$ we put $I^k_{jm} = I^{k_1}_{j_1m_1} \times \ldots \times I^{k_d}_{j_dm_d}$. The functions h_{jml}, $j \in \mathbb{N}^d_{-1}$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$, $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ are called d-dimensional b-adic Haar system. Normalized in $L_2([0,1)^d)$ we obtain the orthonormal b-adic Haar basis of $L_2([0,1)^d)$.

For any function $f \in L_2([0,1)^d)$ we have by Parseval's equation

$$\|f|L_2\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^d_{-1}} b^{\max(0,j_1)+\ldots+\max(0,j_d)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j,\, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\mu_{jml}|^2 \tag{2}$$

where

$$\mu_{jml} = \mu_{jml}(f) = \int_{[0,1)^d} f(x) h_{jml}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{3}$$

are the b-adic Haar coefficients of f.

In [M12a] we gave an equivalent norm for the Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. Let $j=(j_1,\ldots,j_d)\in\mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$ and let $s=\#\{i=1,\ldots,d : j_i\neq -1\}$. Then we can choose a subsequence $(\eta_\nu)_{\nu=1}^s$ of $(1,\ldots,d)$ such that for all $\nu=1,\ldots,s$ we have $j_{\eta_\nu}\neq -1$ while all other j_i are equal to -1. Then we have

$$\left\| f|S_{pq}^rB([0,1)^d)\right\| \approx \left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{(j_{\eta_1}+\ldots+j_{\eta_s})(r-\frac{1}{p}+1)q}\left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{D}_j,\, l\in\mathbb{B}_j}|\mu_{jml}|^p\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \qquad (4)$$

for every $f\in S_{pq}^rB([0,1)^d)$.

3 Constructions of Chen and Skriganov

In this section we will describe the constructions of point sets proposed by Chen and Skriganov. We describe the constructions for those $N=b^n$ where n is divisible by $2d$, i.e. $n=2dw$ for some $w\in\mathbb{N}$. Point sets with arbitrary number of points can be constructed with the usual method as is described for example in [DP10, Section 16.1]. We give some notation and definitions.

We begin with the definition of digital nets. Let $d\geq 1$, $b\geq 2$, and $n\geq 0$ be integers. A point set $\mathcal{P}\in[0,1)^d$ of b^n points is called a net in base b if every b-adic interval with volume b^{-n} contains exactly one point of $\mathcal{P}$. Usually the term $(0,n,d)$-net is used but here we just say net for shortness. Digital nets in base b are special nets in base b that can be constructed using $n\times n$ matrices $C_1,\ldots,C_d$. We describe the digital method to construct digital nets. Let b be a prime number. Let $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Let $C_1,\ldots,C_d$ be $n\times n$ matrices with entries from $\mathbb{F}_b$. We generate the net point $x_r=(x_r^1,\ldots,x_r^d)$ with $0\leq r<b^n$. We expand r in base b as

$$r=r_0+r_1b+\ldots+r_{n-1}b^{n-1}$$

with digits $r_k\in\{0,1,\ldots,b-1\}$, $1\leq k\leq n-1$. We put $\bar{r}=(r_0,\ldots,r_{n-1})^\top\in\mathbb{F}_b^n$ and $\bar{h}_{r,i}=C_i\,\bar{r}=(h_{r,i,1},\ldots,h_{r,i,n})^\top\in\mathbb{F}_b$, $1\leq i\leq d$. Then we get x_r^i as

$$x_r^i=\frac{h_{r,i,1}}{b}+\ldots+\frac{h_{r,i,n}}{b^n}.$$

A point set $\{x_0,\ldots x_{b^n-1}\}$ constructed with the digital method is called digital net in base b with generating matrices $C_1,\ldots C_d$ if it is a net in base b.

For a digital net $\mathcal{P}$ with generating matrices $C_1, \ldots, C_d$ over $\mathbb{F}_b$ we define

$$\mathfrak{D}'(C_1, \ldots, C_d) = \left\{ t \in \{0, \ldots, b^n - 1\}^d \, : \, C_1^\top \, \bar{t}_1 + \ldots + C_d^\top \, \bar{t}_d = 0 \right\} \setminus \{0\}$$

where $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_d)$ and for $1 \leq i \leq d$ we denote by $\bar{t}_i$ the n-dimensional column vector of b-adic digits of t_i. Instead of $(0, \ldots, 0)$ we just wrote 0.

Now let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ with b-adic expansion $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 b + \ldots + \alpha_{h-1} b^{h-1}$ where $\alpha_{h-1} \neq 0$. We define the Niederreiter-Rosenbloom-Tsfasman (NRT) weight by $\varrho(\alpha) = h$. Furthermore, we define $\varrho(0) = 0$. We define the Hamming weight $\varkappa(\alpha)$ as the number of non-zero digits α_ν, $0 \leq \nu < \varrho(\alpha)$.

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, let

$$\varrho^d(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \varrho(\alpha_i) \text{ and } \varkappa^d(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \varkappa(\alpha_i).$$

Now let b be prime and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be some $\mathbb{F}_b$-linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$. Then we define the dual space $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ relative to the standard inner product in $\mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ as

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \left\{ A \in \mathbb{F}_b^{dn} \, : \, B \cdot A = 0 \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{C} \right\}.$$

We have $\dim(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = dn - \dim(\mathcal{C})$ and $(\mathcal{C}^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{C}$.

Now let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_b^n$ and

$$v_n(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \max\{\nu \, : \, a_\nu \neq 0\} & \text{if } a \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

We define $\varkappa_n(a)$ as the number of indices $1 \leq \nu \leq n$ such that $a_\nu \neq 0$. Let $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_b^n$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and let

$$v_n^d(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} v_n(a_i) \text{ and } \varkappa_n^d(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \varkappa_n(a_i).$$

Now let $\mathcal{C} \neq \{0\}$. Then the minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}$ is defined as

$$\delta_n(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{V_n(A) \, : \, A \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Furthermore, let $\delta_n(\{0\}) = dn + 1$. Finally we define

$$\varkappa_n(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{\varkappa_n(A) \, : \, A \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

The weight $\varkappa_n(\mathcal{C})$ is called Hamming weight of $\mathcal{C}$.

Now we continue with the constructions. So let $w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = 2dw$. Let

$$f(z) = f_0 + f_1 z + \ldots + f_{h-1} z^{h-1}$$

be a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_b[z]$. For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ the λ-th hyper-derivative is

$$\partial^\lambda f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \binom{i}{\lambda} f_\lambda z^{i-\lambda}.$$

We use the usual convention for the binomial coefficient modulo b that $\binom{i}{\lambda} = 0$ whenever $\lambda > i$. Let $b \geq 2d^2$ be a prime. Then there are $2d^2$ distinct elements $\beta_{i,\nu} \in \mathbb{F}_b$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $1 \leq \nu \leq 2d$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ let

$$a_i(f) = \left(\left(\partial^{\lambda-1} f(\beta_{i,\nu}) \right)_{\lambda=1}^{w} \right)_{\nu=1}^{2d} \in \mathbb{F}_b^n.$$

We define $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ as

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \left\{ A(f) = (a_1(f), \ldots, a_d(f)) : \, f \in \mathbb{F}_b[z], \, \deg(f) < n \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{C}_n$ has exactly b^n elements. The set of polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_b[z]$ with $\deg(f) < n$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication over $\mathbb{F}_b$, hence $\mathcal{C}_n$ is an $\mathbb{F}_b$-linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$. For example from [DP10, Theorem 16.28] one learns that $\mathcal{C}_n$ has dimension n while its dual $nd - n$ and it satisfies

$$\varkappa_n(\mathcal{C}_n^\perp) \geq 2d + 1 \text{ and } \delta_n(\mathcal{C}_n^\perp) \geq n + 1. \tag{5}$$

Finally we only need to transfer $\mathcal{C}_n$ into the unit cube $[0,1)^d$ as a point set. To do so we define a mapping $\Phi_n : \mathbb{F}_b^{dn} \to [0,1)^d$. Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_b^n$, we set

$$\Phi_n(a) = \frac{a_1}{b} + \ldots + \frac{a_n}{b^n}$$

and for $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in F_b^{dn}$, we set

$$\Phi_n^d(A) = (\Phi_n(a_1), \ldots, \Phi_n(a_d)).$$

So we are ready to define the point set that proves our main result. The point set of Chen and Skriganov is given by

$$\mathcal{CS}_n = \Phi_n^d(\mathcal{C}_n)$$

and contains exactly $N = b^n$ points. From [DP10, Theorem 7.14] we finally learn that $\mathcal{CS}_n$ is a digital net in base b since for every $\mathbb{F}_b$-linear subspace $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ with dimension n and dual space satisfying (5), $\Phi_n^d(\mathcal{C})$ is a digital net in base b with some generating matrices $C_1, \ldots, C_d$. We will call $\Phi_n^d(\mathcal{C})$ the corresponding digital net. As a final remark of this section we would like to note that we needed b to be large so that $\mathbb{F}_b$ has enough distinct elements. But there are even general rules for nets on the minimum of b such that, a net in base b can exist (see [DP10, Chapter 4].

4 The b-adic Walsh functions

Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer. For some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with b-adic expansion $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 b + \ldots + \alpha_{\varrho(\alpha)-1} b^{\varrho(\alpha)-1}$ we define the α-th b-adic Walsh function $\mathrm{wal}_\alpha : [0,1) \to \mathbb{C}$, as

$$\mathrm{wal}_\alpha(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}(\alpha_0 x_1 + \alpha_1 x_2 + \ldots + \alpha_{\varrho(\alpha)-1} x_{\varrho(\alpha)})},$$

for $x \in [0,1)$ with b-adic expansion $x = x_1 b^{-1} + x_2 b^{-2} + \ldots$. The functions wal_α, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are called b-adic Walsh system.

For $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \ldots, \alpha^d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ the Walsh function wal_α is given as the tensor product $\mathrm{wal}_\alpha(x) = \mathrm{wal}_{\alpha^1}(x_1) \ldots \mathrm{wal}_{\alpha^d}(x_d)$ for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in [0,1)^d$. The functions wal_α, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ are called d-dimensional b-adic Walsh system.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ the function wal_α is constant on b-adic intervals $I_{\varrho(\alpha)m}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{D}_{\varrho(\alpha)}$. Further, wal_0 is constant on $[0,1)$ with value 1. We have

$$\int_{[0,1)} \mathrm{wal}_\alpha(x)\mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ we have

$$\int_{[0,1)^d} \mathrm{wal}_\alpha(x)\overline{\mathrm{wal}_\beta(x)}\mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}$$

The d-dimensional b-adic Walsh system is an orthonormal basis in $L_2([0,1)^d)$. The proofs of these facts can be found for example in Appendix A of [DP10].

5 Calculation of the b-adic Haar coefficients

Before we can compute the Haar coefficients we need some easy lemmas. We omit the proofs since they are nothing further but easy exercises.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f(x) = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_d$ for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in [0,1)^d$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ and let μ_{jml} be the b-adic Haar coefficient of f. Then*

$$\mu_{jml} = \frac{b^{-2j_{\eta_1}-\ldots-2j_{\eta_s}-s}}{2^{d-s}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}l_{\eta_1}}-1)\cdot\ldots\cdot(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}l_{\eta_s}}-1)}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_d) \in [0,1)^d$ and $g(x) = \chi_{[0,x)}(z)$ for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in [0,1)^d$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ and let μ_{jml} be the b-adic Haar coefficient of g. Then $\mu_{jml} = 0$ whenever z is not contained in the interior of the b-adic box I_{jm} supporting the functions h_{jml}. If z is contained in the interior of I_{jm} then there is a $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_d)$ with $k_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, b-1\}$ if $j_i \neq -1$ or $k_i = -1$ if $j_i = -1$ such that z is contained in I_{jm}^k. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{jml} = b^{-j_{\eta_1}-\ldots-j_{\eta_s}-s} & \prod_{1\le i\le d;\, j_i=-1} (1-z_i)\times \\ \times \prod_{\nu=1}^{s} & \left[(bm_{\eta_\nu}+k_{\eta_\nu}+1-b^{j_{\eta_\nu}+1}z_{\eta_\nu})e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}k_{\eta_\nu}l_{\eta_\nu}} + \sum_{r_{\eta_\nu}=k_{\eta_\nu}+1}^{b-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}r_{\eta_\nu}l_{\eta_\nu}}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\#\{(j_1, \ldots, j_s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^s : j_1 + \ldots + j_s = \lambda\} \le (\lambda+1)^{s-1}.$$

We consider the Walsh series expansion of the function $\chi_{[0,y)}$

$$\chi_{[0,y)}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_{[0,y)}(t)\,\mathrm{wal}_t(x), \tag{6}$$

where for $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with b-adic expansion $t = \tau_0 + \tau_1 b + \ldots + \tau_{\varrho(t)-1}b^{\varrho(t)-1}$, the t-th Walsh coefficient is given by

$$\hat{\chi}_{[0,y)}(t) = \int_0^1 \chi_{[0,y)}(x)\overline{\mathrm{wal}_t(x)}\mathrm{d}x = \int_0^y \overline{\mathrm{wal}_t(x)}\mathrm{d}x.$$

For $t > 0$ we put $t = t' + \tau_{\varrho(t)-1} b^{\varrho(t)-1}$.

The following is called Fine-Price formulas and was first proved in [F49] (dyadic case) and [P57] (b-adic version). One often finds it in literature, e.g. see [DP10, Lemma 14.8] for an easy understandable proof.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer and $x \in [0,1)$. Then we have*

$$\hat{\chi}_{[0,y)}(0) = y = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \sum_{z=1}^{b-1} \frac{1}{b^a (e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{b} z} - 1)} \operatorname{wal}_{z b^{a-1}}(y)$$

and for any integer $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\chi}_{[0,y)}(t) = \frac{1}{b^{\varrho(t)}} \Bigg(& \frac{1}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{b} \tau_{\varrho(t)-1}}} \overline{\operatorname{wal}_{t'}(y)} + \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{b} \tau_{\varrho(t)-1}} - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \overline{\operatorname{wal}_t(y)} + \\ & + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \sum_{z=1}^{b-1} \frac{1}{b^a (\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{b} z} - 1)} \overline{\operatorname{wal}_{z b^{\varrho(t)+a-1}+t}(y)} \Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

The first part of the Lemma is [DP10, Lemma A.22], the second is [DP10, Lemma 14.8].

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we consider the approximation of $\chi_{[0,y)}$ by the truncated series

$$\chi^{(n)}_{[0,y)}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{b^n - 1} \hat{\chi}_{[0,y)}(t) \operatorname{wal}_t(x). \tag{7}$$

Let N be a positive integer. Then we put for some point set $\mathcal{P}$ in $[0,1)^d$ with N points

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}} \chi^{(n)}_{[0,y)}(z) - y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_d. \tag{8}$$

Let

$$D_{\mathcal{P}}(y) = \Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(y) + R_{\mathcal{P}}(y). \tag{9}$$

We now restrict ourselves again to the case where b is prime.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\{x_0, \ldots, x_{b^n-1}\}$ be a digital net in base b generated by the ma-*

trices $C_1,\dots,C_d$. *Then for* $t\in\{0,\dots,b^n-1\}^d$, *we have*

$$\sum_{h=0}^{b^n-1}\mathrm{wal}_t(x_h)=\begin{cases} b^n & \text{if } t\in\mathfrak{D}(C_1,\dots,C_d),\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

The proof of this fact can be found in [DP10, Section 4.4]

Lemma 5.6. *Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be an* $\mathbb{F}_b$*-linear subspace of* $\mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ *of dimension* n *and let* $\mathcal{P}=\Phi_n^d(\mathcal{C})$ *denote the corresponding digital net in base* b *with generating matrices* $C_1,\dots,C_d$. *Then*

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(y)=\sum_{t\in\mathfrak{D}'(C_1,\dots,C_d)}\hat{\chi}_{[0,y)}(t).$$

The proof of this fact is contained in the proof of [DP10, Lemma 16.22].

Lemma 5.7. *There exists a constant* $c>0$ *such that, for any* $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ *and for any* $\mathbb{F}_b$*-linear subspace* $\mathcal{C}$ *of* $\mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ *of dimension* n *with dual space* $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ *satisfying* $\delta_n(\mathcal{C}^\perp)\ge n+1$ *with the corresponding digital net* $\mathcal{P}=\Phi_n(\mathcal{C})$ *and for every* $y\in[0,1)^d$, *we have*

$$|R_{\mathcal{P}}(y)|\le c\,b^{-n}.$$

For a proof of this lemma the interested reader is referred to [DP10, Lemma 16.21].

We introduce a very common notation. For functions $f,g\in L_2(\mathbb{Q}^d)$ we write

$$\langle f,g\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{Q}^d}f\,\bar{g}.$$

Proposition 5.8. *Let* $j=(-1,\dots,-1)$, $m=(0,\dots,0)$, $l=(1,\dots,1)$. *Then there exists a constant* $c>0$ *independent of* n *such that*

$$|\mu_{jml}(D_{\mathcal{CS}_n})|\le c\,b^{-n}.$$

Proof. As in (9) we split $D_{\mathcal{CS}_n}(y)=\Theta_{\mathcal{CS}_n}(y)+R_{\mathcal{CS}_n}(y)$ and we know from Lemma 5.7 (since $\mathcal{CS}_n$ is a digital net) that there is a constant $c>0$ such that $|R_{\mathcal{CS}_n}(y)|\le c\,b^{-n}$. Using Lemma 5.6 we can calculate the Haar coefficient

$$\mu_{jml}(D_{\mathcal{CS}_n})=\langle\Theta_{\mathcal{CS}_n}+R_{\mathcal{CS}_n},h_{jml}\rangle\,.$$

To do so we use the fact that $h_{jml}=\mathrm{wal}_{(0,\dots,0)}$. Now we consider the onedimensional case first and from the first part of Lemma 5.4 we get

$$\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(0), \mathrm{wal}_0 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now let $t > 0$. Then by the second part of Lemma 5.4 we have

$$\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_0 \right\rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b^{\varrho(t)}} \frac{1}{1-e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{b}\tau_{\varrho(t)-1}}} & t' = 0, \\ 0 & t' \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

This means that we can find a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for every integer $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\left| \left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_0 \right\rangle \right| \leq c_1 \, b^{-\varrho(t)}$$

and

$$\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_0 \right\rangle = 0$$

if $t > 0$ and $t' \neq 0$.

Now suppose, we have some $t \in \mathfrak{D}'(C_1, \ldots, C_d)$ such that

$$\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_{(0,\ldots,0)} \right\rangle \neq 0.$$

Then for all $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have

$$\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t_i), \mathrm{wal}_0 \right\rangle \neq 0.$$

Then necessarily $t_i = \tau_i b^{\varrho(t_i)-1}$ (since $t_i' = 0$) or $t_i = 0$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, d$ which means that either $\varkappa(t_i) = 1$ or $\varkappa(t_i) = 0$. In any case we have $\varkappa^d(t) \leq d$ which contradicts to $\varkappa_n(\mathcal{C}_n^\perp) \geq 2d+1$ as must be the case according to above. Therefore, for all $t \in \mathfrak{D}'(C_1, \ldots, C_d)$ we have

$$\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_{(0,\ldots,0)} \right\rangle = 0$$

and from Lemma 5.6 follows $\langle \Theta_{\mathcal{CS}_n}, \mathrm{wal}_0 \rangle = 0$. Hence we have

$$|\mu_{jml}(D_{\mathcal{CS}_n})| \leq |\langle \Theta_{\mathcal{CS}_n}, \mathrm{wal}_0 \rangle| + |\langle R_{\mathcal{CS}_n}, \mathrm{wal}_0 \rangle| \leq c\, b^{-n}.$$

□

Lemma 5.9. *Let* $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$, $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ *and* $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$. *Then* *(i) if* $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $\varrho(\alpha) = j+1$ *and* $\alpha_j = l$*. Then*

$$|\,\langle h_{jml}, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha\rangle\,| = b^{-j},$$

(ii) if $j = -1$*,* $m = l = 0$ *and* $\alpha = 0$ *then*

$$\langle h_{jml}, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha\rangle\,| = 1,$$

(iii) if $\varrho(\alpha) \neq j+1$ *or* $\alpha_j \neq l$ *then*

$$|\,\langle h_{jml}, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha\rangle\,| = 0.$$

Proof. The second claim and the third for $j = -1$ are trivial so let $j \geq 0$. Let $y \in [0,1)$. We expand α and y as

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 b + \ldots + \alpha_{\varrho(\alpha)-1} b^{\varrho(\alpha)-1}$$

and

$$y = y_1 b^{-1} + y_2 b^{-2} + \ldots.$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{wal}_\alpha(y) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}(\alpha_0 y_1 + \ldots + \alpha_{\varrho(\alpha)-1} y_{\varrho(\alpha)})}.$$

The function wal_α is constant on the intervals

$$[\, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}\delta, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}(\delta+1)\,)$$

for any integer $0 \leq \delta < b^{\varrho(\alpha)}$. The function h_{jml} is constant on the intervals

$$I_{jm}^k = [\, b^{-j-1}(bm+k), b^{-j-1}(bm+k+1)\,)$$

for any integer $0 \leq k < b$. Now suppose that either $j+1 > \varrho(\alpha)$ or $j+1 < \varrho(\alpha)$. This would mean that either

$$I_{jm} = [\, b^{-j}m, b^{-j}(m+1)\,) \subseteq [\, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}\delta, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}(\delta+1)\,)$$

in the first case or

$$[\, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}\delta, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}(\delta+1)\,) \subset I_{jm}^k$$

for some k in the second case or in both cases

$$[\, b^{-j}m, b^{-j}(m+1)\,) \cap [\, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}\delta, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}(\delta+1)\,) = \emptyset$$

In any case

$$\langle h_{jml}, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \rangle = 0.$$

So the only relevant case is $j+1 = \varrho(\alpha)$. Then either again

$$[\, b^{-j}m, b^{-j}(m+1)\,) \cap [\, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}\delta, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}(\delta+1)\,) = \emptyset$$

or

$$[\, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}\delta, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}(\delta+1)\,) = I_{jm}^k$$

for some k. We consider the last possibility. The value of h_{jml} on I_{jm}^k is $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}lk}$. To calculate the value of wal_α we expand m as

$$m = m_1 + m_2 b + \ldots + m_j b^{j-1}.$$

Clearly, $0 \le bm + k < b^{j+1}$. Hence,

$$b^{-j-1}(bm+k) = m_j b^{-1} + \ldots + m_2 b^{-j+1} + m_1 b^{-j} + k b^{-j-1}.$$

So,

$$\mathrm{wal}_\alpha(b^{-j-1}(bm+k)) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}\alpha_0 m_j + \ldots + \alpha_{j-1}m_1 + \alpha_j k}.$$

Now we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\langle h_{jml}, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \rangle} &= \int_{I_{jm}} \overline{h_{jml}(y)}\, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha(y) \mathrm{d}y \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \int_{I_{jm}^k} \overline{h_{jml}(y)}\, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha(y) \mathrm{d}y \\
&= b^{-j-1} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}\alpha_0 m_j + \ldots + \alpha_{j-1}m_1 + (\alpha_j - l)k} \\
&= b^{-j-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}\alpha_0 m_j + \ldots + \alpha_{j-1}m_1} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} e^{(\alpha_j - l)k} \\
&= \begin{cases} b^{-j} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}\alpha_0 m_j + \ldots + \alpha_{j-1}m_1} & \alpha_j = l, \\ 0 & \alpha_j \neq l \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows.

□

Lemma 5.10. *There exists a constant* $c > 0$ *with the following property. Let* $t, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$*. Then if* $\alpha = t'$ *or* $\alpha = t + \tau\, b^{\varrho(t)+a-1}$ *for some integer* $0 \le \tau \le b-1$ *and* $a \ge 1$ *then*

$$\left|\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle\right| \le c\, b^{-\max(\varrho(t),\varrho(\alpha))}.$$

If $\alpha \ne t'$ *and there are no integers* $0 \le \tau \le b-1$ *and* $a \ge 1$ *such that* $\alpha = t + \tau\, b^{\varrho(t)+a-1}$ *then*

$$\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle = 0.$$

Proof. We use Lemma 5.4. First let $t > 0$. Suppose that $\alpha = t'$, so $\varrho(\alpha) < \varrho(t)$. Then

$$\left|\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle\right| = \left|\frac{1}{1 - e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{b}\tau_{\varrho(t)-1}}}\right| b^{-\varrho(t)} \le c\, b^{-\varrho(t)}.$$

If $\alpha = t$ meaning that $\varrho(\alpha) = \varrho(t)$ then

$$\left|\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle\right| \le \left|\frac{1}{e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{b}\tau_{\varrho(t)-1}} - 1} + \frac{1}{2}\right| b^{-\varrho(t)} \le c\, b^{-\varrho(t)}.$$

Now let $\alpha = t + \tau\, b^{\varrho(t)+a-1}$ for some $1 \le \tau \le b-1$ and $a \ge 1$. Hence $\varrho(\alpha) = \varrho(t) + a$. Then

$$\left|\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle\right| = \left|\frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}\tau} - 1}\right| b^{-\varrho(t)}\, b^{-a} \le c\, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}.$$

For any other α clearly,

$$\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle = 0.$$

Now we consider the case $t = 0$. Then for $\alpha = 0$ (meaning $\varrho(\alpha) = 0$) we have

$$\left|\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle\right| = \frac{1}{2} \le c\, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}.$$

Let $\alpha = \tau\, b^{a-1}$ for some $1 \le \tau \le b-1$ and $a \ge 1$. Then $\varrho(\alpha) = a$ and

$$\left|\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle\right| = \left|\frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}\tau} - 1}\right| b^{-a} \le c\, b^{-\varrho(\alpha)}.$$

For any other α again clearly,

$$\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle = 0.$$

□

We now need an additional notation. For any function $f : \mathbb{F}_b^{dn} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we call $\hat{f}$ given by

$$\hat{f}(B) = \sum_{A \in \mathbb{F}_b^{dn}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{b} A \cdot B} f(A)$$

for $B \in \mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ the Walsh transform of f.

The following two facts can be found in [DP10]. The first lemma is [DP10, Lemma 16.9] while the second is [DP10, (16.3)].

Lemma 5.11. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ be mutually dual $\mathbb{F}_b$-linear subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$. Then for any function $f : \mathbb{F}_b^{dn} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{C}} f(A) = \frac{\#\mathcal{C}}{b^{dn}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{C}^\perp} \hat{f}(B).$$

Lemma 5.12. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ be mutually dual $\mathbb{F}_b$-linear subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$. Let $B \in \mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{b} A \cdot B} = \begin{cases} \#\mathcal{C}, & B \in \mathcal{C}^\perp, \\ 0, & B \notin \mathcal{C}^\perp. \end{cases}$$

We will introduce some notation now, slightly changed from what can be found in [DP10, 16.2]. Let $0 \le \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d \le n$ be integers. We put $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d)$. Then we write

$$\mathcal{V}_\gamma = \left\{ A \in \mathbb{F}_b^{dn} : \Phi_n(A) \in \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left[0, b^{-\gamma_i}\right) \right\}.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$ consists of all such $A \in \mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ that $a_i = (0, \dots, 0, a_{i,\gamma_i+1}, \dots, a_{in})$ for all $1 \le i \le d$. For all $1 \le i \le d$ let $0 \le \lambda_i \le \gamma_i$ be integers and let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$. Then we write $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma,\lambda}$ for the set consisting of all such $A \in \mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ that $a_i = (0, \dots, 0, a_{i,\lambda_i+1}, \dots, a_{i,\gamma_i-1}, 0, a_{i,\gamma_i+1}, \dots, a_{in})$. The case $\lambda_i = \gamma_i$ is to be understood in the obvious way as $a_i = (0, \dots, 0, a_{i,\gamma_i+1}, \dots, a_{in})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{V}_\gamma^\perp$ consists of such $A \in \mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ that $a_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{i,\gamma_i}, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma,\lambda}^\perp$ consists of such $A \in \mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ that $a_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{i,\lambda_i}, 0, \dots, 0, a_{i,\gamma_i}, 0, \dots, 0)$.

For a subset V of $\mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ we denote the characteristic function of V by χ_V. The next result is a slight generalization of the corresponding assertion from [DP10, Lemma 16.11].

Lemma 5.13. *Let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d$ be as above. Let σ be the number of such i that $\lambda_i < \gamma_i$. For all $B \in \mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ we have*

$$\hat{\chi}_{\mathcal{V}_{\gamma,\lambda}}(B) = b^{dn-|\lambda|-\sigma}\chi_{\mathcal{V}^{\perp}_{\gamma,\lambda}}(B).$$

The following fact is a generalization of [DP10, Lemma 16.13].

Lemma 5.14. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ be mutually dual $\mathbb{F}_b$-linear subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$. Let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d, \sigma$ be as above. Then we have*

$$\#\left(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{V}_{\gamma,\lambda}\right) = \frac{\#\mathcal{C}}{b^{|\lambda|+\sigma}}\,\#\left(\mathcal{C}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{V}^{\perp}_{\gamma,\lambda}\right).$$

Proposition 5.15. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\mathbb{F}_b$-linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_b^{dn}$ of dimension n with dual space of dimension $dn-n$ satisfying $\delta_n(\mathcal{C}^{\perp}) \geq n+1$. Let $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq \gamma_i \leq n$ be integers for all $1 \leq i \leq d$ with $|\gamma| \geq n+1$ and $|\lambda| + d \leq n$. Then we have*

$$\#\left\{A = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp} : v_n(a_i) \leq \gamma_i;\ a_{ik} = 0\ \forall\, \lambda_i < k < \gamma_i;\ 1 \leq i \leq d\right\} \leq b^d.$$

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ with $v_n(a_i) \leq \gamma_i$ and for all $\lambda_i < k < \gamma_i$ with $a_{ik} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$. Then we have $A \in \mathcal{V}^{\perp}_{\gamma,\lambda}$. Let σ be the number of such i that $\lambda_i < \gamma_i$. Analogously to the proof of [DP10, Lemma 16.26] using Lemma 5.14 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\#\left\{A = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp} : v_n(a_i) \leq \gamma_i;\ a_{ik} = 0\ \forall\, \lambda_i < k < \gamma_i;\ 1 \leq i \leq d\right\} \\
&\qquad \leq \#\left(\mathcal{C}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{V}^{\perp}_{\gamma,\lambda}\right) \\
&\qquad = b^{|\lambda|+\sigma-n}\,\#\left(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{V}_{\gamma,\lambda}\right). \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $A \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma,\lambda}$. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have

$$\Phi_n(a_i) = \frac{a_{i,\lambda_i+1}}{b^{\lambda_i+1}} + \ldots + \frac{a_{i,\gamma_i-1}}{b^{\gamma_i-1}} + \frac{a_{i,\gamma_i+1}}{b^{\gamma_i+1}} + \ldots + \frac{a_{in}}{b^n} < \frac{1}{b^{\lambda_i}}$$

in the case where $\lambda_i < \gamma_i$ and

$$\Phi_n(a_i) = \frac{a_{i,\lambda_i+1}}{b^{\lambda_i+1}} + \ldots + \frac{a_{in}}{b^n} < \frac{1}{b^{\lambda_i}}$$

elsewise. Hence, $\Phi_n^d(A)$ is contained in the b-adic interval

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left[0, b^{-\lambda_i}\right)$$

of volume $b^{-|\lambda|}$. By Proposition [DP10, Theorem 7.14], $\Phi_n^d(\mathcal{C})$ is a digital net in base b, and therefore, contains exactly $b^{n-|\lambda|}$ points which lie in a b-adic interval of volume $b^{-|\lambda|}$. Therefore, we have

$$\#\left(\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{V}_{\gamma,\lambda}\right)\leq b^{n-|\lambda|}$$

and the result follows from (10) since $\sigma\leq d$.

□

Proposition 5.16. *There exists a constant $c>0$ with the following property. Let $\mathcal{CS}_n$ be a Chen-Skriganov type point set with $N=b^n$ points and let μ_{jml} be the b-adic Haar coefficient of the discrepancy function of $\mathcal{CS}_n$ for $j\in\mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$, $m\in\mathbb{D}_j$ and $l\in\mathbb{B}_j$. Then*

(i) if $j=(-1,\ldots,-1)$ then

$$|\mu_{jml}|\leq c\,b^{-n},$$

(ii) if $j\neq(-1,\ldots,-1)$ and $|j|\leq n$ then

$$|\mu_{jml}|\leq c\,b^{-|j|-n},$$

(iii) if $j\neq(-1,\ldots,-1)$ and $|j|>n$ and $j_{\eta_1},\ldots,j_{\eta_s}<n$ then

$$|\mu_{jml}|\leq c\,b^{-|j|-n}$$

and

$$|\mu_{jml}|\leq c\,b^{-2|j|}$$

for all but b^n coefficients μ_{jml},

(iv) if $j\neq(-1,\ldots,-1)$ and $j_{\eta_1}\geq n$ or … or $j_{\eta_s}\geq n$ then

$$|\mu_{jml}|\leq c\,b^{-2|j|},$$

Proof. Part (i) is actually Proposition 5.8.

To prove part (ii) we use again the resolution of $D_{\mathcal{CS}_n}$ in

$$D_{\mathcal{CS}_n} = \Theta_{\mathcal{CS}_n} + R_{\mathcal{CS}_n}.$$

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$, $j \neq (-1, \dots, -1)$, $|j| \leq n$, $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$, $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$. The Walsh function series of h_{jml} can be given as

$$h_{jml} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \langle h_{jml}, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \rangle \, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \, . \tag{11}$$

By Lemma 5.7 we have

$$|\langle R_{\mathcal{CS}_n}, h_{jml} \rangle| \leq c \, b^{-n} |I_{jm}| = c \, b^{-|j|-n}.$$

We recall that

$$\langle h_{jml}, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \rangle = \langle h_{j_1 m_1 l_1}, \mathrm{wal}_{\alpha_1} \rangle \cdot \ldots \cdot \langle h_{j_d m_d l_d}, \mathrm{wal}_{\alpha_d} \rangle$$

and

$$\left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,y)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle = \left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,y_1)}(t_1), \mathrm{wal}_{\alpha_1} \right\rangle \cdot \ldots \cdot \left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,y_d)}(t_d), \mathrm{wal}_{\alpha_d} \right\rangle .$$

We will use Lemmas 5.9 and 5.10 on each of the factors. Lemma 5.9 gives us $| \langle h_{j_i m_i l_i}, \mathrm{wal}_{\alpha_i} \rangle | \leq b^{-j_i}$ if $j_i \neq -1$ for all i. For all α with $\varrho(\alpha_i) \neq j_i + 1$ for some i we have $\langle h_{jml}, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \rangle = 0$. We also always get 0 if the leading digit in the b-adic expansion of α_i is not l_i for some i. In the case where $j_i = -1$ we can get b^{-j_i}, by increasing the constant. From Lemma 5.10 we have $| \left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,y_i)}(t_i), \mathrm{wal}_{\alpha_i} \right\rangle | \leq c \, b^{-\max(\varrho(\alpha_i), \varrho(t_i))}$. Inserting Lemma 5.6 and (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mu_{jml}(\Theta_{\mathcal{CS}_n})| &= |\langle \Theta_{\mathcal{CS}_n}, h_{jml} \rangle| \\
&= \left| \left\langle \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}'(C_1, \dots, C_d)} \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \langle h_{jml}, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \rangle \, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}'(C_1, \dots, C_d)} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \left| \left\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle \right| |\langle h_{jml}, \mathrm{wal}_\alpha \rangle| \\
&\leq c_1 \, b^{-j_1 - \ldots - j_d} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}'(C_1, \dots, C_d)} b^{-\max(j_1, \varrho(t_1)) - \ldots - \max(j_d, \varrho(t_d))}.
\end{aligned}$$

The summation in α disappears due to the following facts. The application of Lemma 5.9 leaves only all such α with $\varrho(\alpha_i) = j_i + 1$ and with l_i as leading digit in the b-adic expansion of α_i for all i. The application of Lemma 5.10 leaves then at most one α per t, namely the one with either $\alpha_i = t'_i$ (if $\varrho(t_i) > j_i + 1$) or $\alpha_i = t_i + l_i\, b^{j_i}$ (if $\varrho(t_i) \leq j_i + 1$) for all i. In the cases where there is an i with $\varrho(t_i) > j_i + 1$, it is possible that no α is left in the summation, since we still have the condition on α_i that the leading digit in the b-adic expansion is l_i, which cannot be guaranteed for t'_i.

Our next step is to break the sum above into sums where for every t every coordinate either has bigger NRT weight than the corresponding coordinate of j or a smaller NRT weight. Let $0 \leq r \leq d$ be the integer that is the cardinality of such $1 \leq i \leq d$ that the NRT weight is smaller. Without loss of generality we consider for every r only the case where for $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have $\varrho(t_i) \leq j_i$ while for $r + 1 \leq i \leq d$ we have $\varrho(t_i) > j_i$. All the other cases follow from renaming the indices and we will just increase the constant. In the notation we split the sum

$$\sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}'(C_1,\ldots,C_d)} \leq c_2 \sum_{r=0}^{d} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}'_r(C_1,\ldots,C_d)}$$

where by $\mathfrak{D}'_r(C_1, \ldots, C_d)$ we mean the subset of $\mathfrak{D}'(C_1, \ldots, C_d)$ according to what we explained above (with ordered indices and other cases incorporated into the constant, r coordinates have smaller NRT weight). So we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_{jml}(\Theta_{\mathcal{CS}_n})| &\leq c_3\, b^{-j_1-\ldots-j_d} \sum_{r=0}^{d} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}'_r(C_1,\ldots,C_d)} b^{-j_1-\ldots-j_r-\varrho(t_{r+1})-\ldots-\varrho(t_d)} \\ &= c_3 \sum_{r=0}^{d} b^{-2j_1-\ldots-2j_r-j_{r+1}-\ldots-j_d} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}'_r(C_1,\ldots,C_d)} b^{-\varrho(t_{r+1})-\ldots-\varrho(t_d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Instead of summing over t, we can sum over the values of $\varrho(t)$, considering the number of such t that $\varrho(t_i) = \gamma_i$, $1 \leq i \leq d$. We recall that $\mathcal{CS}_n = \Phi_n^d(\mathcal{C}_n)$. Then we denote

$$\omega_\gamma = \#\left\{A \in \mathcal{C}_n^\perp : v_n(a_i) = \gamma_i \,\forall\, i \wedge a_{ik} = 0 \,\forall\, j_i < k < \gamma_i;\, r+1 \leq i \leq d\right\}$$

and

$$\tilde{\omega}_\gamma = \#\left\{A \in \mathcal{C}_n^\perp : v_n(a_i) \leq \gamma_i \,\forall\, i \wedge a_{ik} = 0 \,\forall\, j_i < k < \gamma_i;\, r+1 \leq i \leq d\right\}.$$

Let Γ consist of all such $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d)$ that $0 \le \gamma_i \le j_i$ for $1 \le i \le r$, $j_i < \gamma_i \le n$ for $r+1 \le i \le d$ and $|\gamma| \ge n+1$. Then we have

$$|\mu_{jml}(\Theta_{\mathcal{CS}_n})| \le c_3 \sum_{r=0}^{d} b^{-2j_1-\ldots-2j_r-j_{r+1}-\ldots-j_d} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} b^{-\gamma_{r+1}-\ldots-\gamma_d}\, \omega_\gamma.$$

We can apply Proposition 5.15 with $\lambda_i = \gamma_i$, $1 \le i \le r$ and $\lambda_i = j_i$, $r+1 \le i \le d$. Thereby, we get $\tilde{\omega}_\gamma \le b^d$. An obvious observation is that

$$\sum_{0\le\kappa_i\le\gamma_i,\,1\le i\le d} \omega_\kappa \le \tilde{\omega}_\gamma$$

with $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_d)$. Recall the notation $\bar{n} = (n, \ldots, n)$. For all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ it holds that $-\gamma_{r+1} - \ldots - \gamma_d \le \gamma_1 + \ldots + \gamma_r - n - 1$ so,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mu_{jml}(\Theta_{\mathcal{CS}_n})| &\le c_3 \sum_{r=0}^{d} b^{-2j_1-\ldots-2j_r-j_{r+1}-\ldots-j_d} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} b^{-n-1+\gamma_1+\ldots+\gamma_r}\, \omega_\gamma \\
&\le c_4 \sum_{r=0}^{d} b^{-2j_1-\ldots-2j_r-j_{r+1}-\ldots-j_d-n} \sum_{0\le\gamma_i\le j_i,\,1\le i\le r} b^{\gamma_1+\ldots+\gamma_r} \sum_{j_i<\gamma_i\le n,\,r+1\le i\le d} \omega_\gamma \\
&\le c_4 \sum_{r=0}^{d} b^{-2j_1-\ldots-2j_r-j_{r+1}-\ldots-j_d-n} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{\kappa_i=0}^{j_i} b^{\kappa_i} \sum_{j_i<\gamma_i\le n,\,r+1\le i\le d} \max_{0\le\gamma_i\le j_i,\,1\le i\le r} \omega_\gamma \\
&\le c_5 \sum_{r=0}^{d} b^{-j_1-\ldots-j_d-n} \sum_{0\le\gamma_i\le n,\,1\le i\le d} \omega_\gamma \\
&\le c_6\, b^{-j_1-\ldots-j_d-n}\, \tilde{\omega}_{\bar{n}} \\
&\le c_6\, b^{-j_1-\ldots-j_d-n}\, b^d \\
&\le c_7\, b^{-|j|-n}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the part (iii) let $|j| > n$ and $j_{\eta_1}, \ldots, j_{\eta_s} < n$. We recall that $\mathcal{CS}_n$ contains exactly $N = b^n$ points and for fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$, the interiors of the b-adic intervals I_{jm} are mutually disjoint. There are no more than b^n such b-adic intervals which contain a point of $\mathcal{CS}_n$ meaning that all but b^n intervals contain no points at all. This fact combined with Lemma 5.1 gives us the second statement of this part. The remaining boxes contain exactly one point of $\mathcal{CS}_n$. So from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 we get the first statement of this part.

Finally, let $j_{\eta_1} \ge n$ or $\ldots$ or $j_{\eta_s} \ge n$, then there is no point of $\mathcal{CS}_n$ which is contained in the interior of the b-adic interval I_{jm}. Thereby part (iv) follows from

Lemma 5.1.

$\square$

6 The proof of the main result

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The point set satisfying the assertion is the Chen-Skriganov type point set $\mathcal{CS}_n$. Let μ_{jml} be the b-adic Haar coefficients of the discrepancy function of $\mathcal{CS}_n$. We write $|j| = j_{\eta_1} + \ldots + j_{\eta_s}$. We have an equivalent quasi-norm on $S^r_{pq}B([0,1)^d)$ in (4) so that the proof of the inequality

$$\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^d_{-1}} b^{|j|(r-\frac{1}{p}+1)q} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j,\, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\mu_{jml}|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C\, b^{n(r-1)} n^{\frac{d-1}{q}}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ establishes the proof of the theorem in this case.

To estimate the expression on the left-hand side, we use Minkowski's inequality to split the sum into summands according to the cases of Proposition 5.16. We denote

$$\Xi_j = b^{|j|(r-\frac{1}{p}+1)q} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j,\, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\mu_{jml}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and get

$$\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^d_{-1}} \Xi_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \Xi_{(-1,\ldots,-1)} + \sum_{s=1}^{d} \left[\left(\sum_{j \in J^1_s} \Xi_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\sum_{j \in J^2_s} \Xi_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \left(\sum_{j \in J^3_{si}} \Xi_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right]$$

where J^1_s is the set of all such $j \neq (-1, \ldots, -1)$ for which $|j| \leq n$, J^2_s is the set of all such $j \neq (-1, \ldots, -1)$ for which $0 \leq j_{\eta_1}, \ldots, j_{\eta_s} \leq n-1$ and $|j| > n$ and J^3_{si} is the set of all such j for which $j_{\eta_i} \geq n$.

We will show that each of the summands above can be bounded by $C\, b^{n(r-1)} n^{\frac{d-1}{q}}$ which finishes the proof.

Part (i) of Proposition 5.16 gives us for $j = (-1, \ldots, -1)$, $m = (0, \ldots, 0)$, $l = (0, \ldots, 0)$

$$\Xi_j = |\mu_{jml}| \leq c_1 b^{-n} \leq c_2 b^{n(r-1)} n^{\frac{d-1}{q}}.$$

Let now $1 \leq s \leq d$. We will use (ii) in Proposition 5.16 and Lemma 5.3. The summation over $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ can be incorporated into the constant and we recall that

$\#\mathbb{D}_j = b^{|j|}$. Hence (using the fact that $r < 0$) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j\in J_s^1} \Xi_j^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\le c_3 \left(\sum_{j\in J_s^1} b^{|j|(r-\frac{1}{p}+1)q} \left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{D}_j} b^{(-|j|-n)p}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= c_3 \left(\sum_{j\in J_s^1} b^{(|j|r-n)q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\le c_4 \left(\sum_{\lambda=0}^{n} b^{(\lambda r-n)q}(\lambda+1)^{s-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\le c_5\, n^{\frac{s-1}{q}}\, b^{-n} \left(\sum_{\lambda=0}^{n} b^{\lambda r q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\le c_6\, n^{\frac{d-1}{q}}\, b^{n(r-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (iii) in the same proposition (using the fact that $r - \frac{1}{p} < 0$ and $r - 1 \le 0$) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j\in J_s^2} \Xi_j^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\le c_7 \left(\sum_{j\in J_s^2} b^{|j|(r-\frac{1}{p}+1)q}\, b^{n\frac{q}{p}}\, b^{(-|j|-n)q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\quad + c_8 \left(\sum_{j\in J_s^2} b^{|j|(r-\frac{1}{p}+1)q}\, b^{|j|\frac{q}{p}}\, b^{-2|j|q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= c_7 \left(\sum_{j\in J_s^2} b^{\left[|j|(r-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{n}{p}-n\right]q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\quad + c_8 \left(\sum_{j\in J_s^2} b^{|j|(r-1)q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\le c_7 \left(\sum_{\lambda=n+1}^{s(n-1)} (\lambda+1)^{s-1} b^{\left[\lambda(r-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{n}{p}-n\right]q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\quad + c_8 \left(\sum_{\lambda=n+1}^{s(n-1)} (\lambda+1)^{s-1} b^{\lambda(r-1)q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\le c_9\, n^{\frac{s-1}{q}}\, b^{\frac{n}{p}-n} \left(\sum_{\lambda=n+1}^{s(n-1)} b^{\lambda(r-\frac{1}{p})q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + c_{10}\, n^{\frac{s-1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\lambda=n+1}^{s(n-1)} b^{\lambda(r-1)q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le c_{11}\, n^{\frac{s-1}{q}}\, b^{\frac{n}{p}-n}\, b^{n(r-\frac{1}{p})} + c_{12}\, n^{\frac{s-1}{q}}\, b^{n(r-1)} \\
&\le c_{13}\, n^{\frac{d-1}{q}}\, b^{n(r-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Part (iv) in Proposition 5.16 gives us for any $1 \le i \le s$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j\in J^3_{si}} \Xi_j^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\le c_{14}\left(\sum_{j\in J^3_{si}} b^{|j|(r-\frac{1}{p}+1)q}\, b^{|j|\frac{q}{p}}\, b^{-2|j|q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\le c_{15}\left(\sum_{\lambda=n}^{\infty} (\lambda+1)^{s-1} b^{\lambda(r-1)q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\le c_{16} n^{\frac{d-1}{q}} b^{n(r-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The cases $p = \infty$ and $q = \infty$ have to be modified in the usual way.

□

References

[B11] D. Bilyk, *On Roth's orthogonal function method in discrepancy theory.* Uniform Distribution Theory **6**, no. 1 (2011), 143–184.

[C80] W. W. L. Chen, *On irregularities of distribution.* Mathematika **27** (1981), 153–170.

[CS02] W. W. L. Chen, M. M. Skriganov, *Explicit constructions in the classical mean squares problem in irregularities of point distribution.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **545** (2002), 67–95.

[D56] H. Davenport, *Note on irregularities of distribution.* Mathematika **3** (1956), 131–135.

[DP10] J. Dick, F. Pillichshammer, Digital nets and sequences. Discrepancy theory and quasi-Monte Carlo integration. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2010.

[F81] H. Faure, *Discrépances de suites associées à un système de numération (en dimension un).* Bull. de la Soc. Math. de France, **109** (1981), 143–182.

[FP09] H. Faure, F. Pillichshammer, *L_p discrepancy of generalized two-dimensional Hammersley point sets.* Monatsh. Math. **158** (2009), 31–61.

[FPPS10] H. Faure, F. Pillichshammer, G. Pirsic, W. Ch. Schmid, *L_2 discrepancy of generalized two-dimensional Hammersley point sets scrambled with arbitrary permutations.* Acta Arith. **141** (2010), 395–418.

[F49] N. J. Fine, *On the Walsh functions.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **65** (1949), 372–414.

[H60] J. M. Hammersley, *Monte Carlo methods for solving multivariate problems.* Ann. New York Acad. Sci. **86** (1960), 844–874.

[Hn10] M. Hansen, Nonlinear Approximation and Function Spaces of Dominating Mixed Smoothness. Dissertation, Jena, 2010.

[Hi10] A. Hinrichs, *Discrepancy of Hammersley points in Besov spaces of dominating mixed smoothness.* Math. Nachr. **283** (2010), 478–488.

[HM11] A. Hinrichs, L. Markhasin, *On lower bounds for the L_2-discrepancy.* J. of Complexity **27** (2011), 127–132.

[KN74] L. Kuipers, H. Niederreiter, Uniform distribution of sequences. John Wiley, New York 1974. Reprint, Dover Publ., Mineola, NY 2006.

[M12a] L. Markhasin, *Discrepancy of generalized Hammersley type point sets in Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness.* Accepted, (available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.4548), 2012.

[M12b] L. Markhasin, Discrepancy and integration in function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. Dissertation, Jena, 2012.

[M99] J. Matoušek, Geometric discrepancy. Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[NW10] E. Novak, H. Woźniakowski, Tractability of multivariate problems II: Standard information for functionals. European Math. Soc. Publishing House, Zürich, 2010.

[P57] J. J. Price, *Certain groups of orthonormal step functions.* Canad. J. Math. **9** (1957), 413–425.

[RW98] H. L. Resnikoff, R. O. Wells, Jr., Wavelet analysis, The scalable structure of information. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[R54] K. F. Roth, *On irregularities of distribution.* Mathematika **1** (1954), 73–79.

[R79] K. F. Roth, *On irregularities of distribution III.* Acta Arithm. **35** (1979), 373–384.

[R80] K. F. Roth, *On irregularities of distribution IV.* Acta Arithm. **37** (1980), 67–75.

[S77] W. M. Schmidt, Irregularities of distribution X, in: Number Theory and Algebra (1977).

[S06] M. M. Skriganov, *Harmonic analysis on totally disconnected groups and irregularities of point distributions.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **600** (2006), 25–49.

[T10a] H. Triebel, Bases in function spaces, sampling, discrepancy, numerical integration. European Math. Soc. Publishing House, Zürich, 2010.

[T10b] H. Triebel, *Numerical integration and discrepancy, a new approach.* Math. Nachr. **283**, No. 1, (2010), 139–159.